Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/735,485	MUELLER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sarah L. Kuhns	1761
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Sarah L. Kuhns</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joyce Serauskas</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 March 2005	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
D. 44		
Part II.	AL MATURE OF MULATIMA	DIOQUIQUED:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEF Left message for Stephen Scherrer inquiring as to whether or not returned the call and left a message stating that the applicant had	an amendment had been filed in	the case. Joyce Serauskas
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		